Private sector investments in Liberia so far have delivered only limited development to the majority of people living in Liberia. Human rights violations as a result of business activities are creating tension and conflicts between communities and companies, as well as between communities and state institutions. Conflicts over land rights are increasingly turning violent, which, in a context where land disputes were one of the factors causing the civil war, could potentially undermine Liberia’s fragile peace. To avoid involvement in human rights abuses and becoming an actor in conflicts that risk reigniting violence, businesses operating in Liberia should conduct enhanced due diligence to preventing causing human rights violations.

1 Introduction

Since the end of the conflict in Liberia in 2003, private sector engagement has been promoted by the Liberian government, as well as by the international community and donor governments. The recent Ebola outbreak has stifled Liberia’s economic development, but after the country was declared Ebola-free on 9 May 2015 Liberia is ‘back in business’.1 The World Bank has recently established a post-Ebola Recovery Fund, to which the private sector lending arm of the World Bank – the International Finance Corporation (IFC) – is contributing US$450 million to enable trade and investment and to help businesses to continue operating.2 Several countries have started to organise trade missions to Liberia to stimulate economic activity and create business opportunities for their companies. For example, the Netherlands is conducting a trade mission to the Ebola-affected countries Liberia, Sierra Leone and Guinea in early July 2015, facilitated by the Ministry of Foreign Trade and Development to provide businesses with “the opportunity to make use of potential opportunities in these countries”3 in the field of infrastructure and logistics (ports), agriculture (palm oil and rubber sector) and the mining industry (iron ore, gold and diamond sector).

Economic development is an important factor in Liberia’s transformation to a peaceful and prosperous country. This falls within a wider trend that sees private sector engagement in conflict-affected areas being promoted by the development agendas of governments and international institutions alike. The presumption is that economic development is an essential element for the fulfilment of human rights, and that businesses are able to bring about employment, infrastructure, technology, education, knowledge transfer and ultimately stability and peace.4 However, in post-conflict countries private sector
About Liberia

Liberia is located in West Africa and was founded in 1847 by freed African-American slaves. It has a population of almost 4 million people who belong to various ethnic groups. Around half of Liberia’s population lives in Monrovia. English is the official language along with some 20 ethnic group languages. The majority of the people live below the poverty line, and the country ranks close to the bottom of countries in the Human Development Index. Over 70% of the population is below the age of 30, life expectancy is 61 years. In urban areas, 5.1% of households can access electricity, which is 0.8% in rural areas. Formal unemployment figures are low, but 78% of the labour force holds only precarious employment, including the large urbanised youth population.

The country has experienced more than 15 years of conflict that killed over 300,000 people. After the end of the civil war in 2003, democratic elections followed in 2005. Ellen Johnson Sirleaf was elected as president, and she was re-elected in 2011. The UN peacekeeping mission in Liberia (UNMIL) that was established at the end of the war is currently being phased out. As a result of the conflict much of Liberia’s infrastructure was destroyed, and although rehabilitation efforts have been made, this infrastructure remains limited. 45% of the population can access an all-season road within 5km, and 27% cannot access such a road within 30km.

The Liberian economy: foreign assistance and natural resource exploitation
Liberia is a low-income country that relies heavily on foreign assistance and natural resource exploitation. The country receives some of the highest Official Development Assistance per capita in the world. Economic growth before the war was primarily driven by natural resources, with little actual development. Low levels of employment and high levels of poverty continue to be a challenge today. Important export products include iron ore, rubber and timber, while the export of oil and palm oil are expected to take off in the near future. Agriculture is an important sector, which includes rubber, palm oil and timber. Mining is a vital sector due to the vast potential of Liberia’s mineral wealth for future extraction, mainly iron ore and gold mining. Diamond mining is mainly alluvial, involving ex-fighters from the Liberian civil war. Ex-fighters also continue to be involved in gold mining, which is an industry that is also attracting international mining companies.

Liberia has experienced high Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth rates since the end of the conflict in 2003, around 8% to 9% in 2012 and 2013, which is mainly driven by the export of iron ore, timber and rubber. In 2014 this declined to 2.5%, in part due to the Ebola outbreak. Oil has been discovered off the coast and the size and availability of supply is currently being assessed. Potential production is not likely to begin until the end of the decade. Liberia’s private sector largely consists of multinational corporations operating iron ore mining, timber, rubber and palm oil concessions. In addition, there is a formal sector in Monrovia largely servicing the government and donor community. This operates alongside an informal sector of micro and small enterprises and the rural subsistence agriculture sector that employs the majority of the population.

Ebola outbreak
In 2014, Ebola caused an estimated 4,000 deaths and affected more than 9,000 people in Liberia alone. The outbreak of the virus resulted in a deterioration of the already struggling Liberian economy. This had a major impact on economic and infrastructural development and led to the withdrawal of investors, resulting in a reduction of revenue and budget shortfall. The Ebola outbreak has stifled the country’s growth rates, reversed socio-economic gains, aggravated poverty and food insecurity and destroyed livelihoods. Expected lost GDP in 2015 as a result of Ebola and other global economic conditions is US$180 million. There could be a risk that the effects of the Ebola outbreak will push the Liberian government to increase the investor-friendly environment in order to attract foreign capital without the necessary corresponding regulatory policies that protect the Liberian people from negative impacts and access to justice when rights are violated.
investment also risks causing serious adverse human rights impacts, and sparking conflicts. This paper aims to highlight the human rights concerns when businesses operate in a conflict-affected area like Liberia. It provides a background to the Liberian context and identifies major human rights and conflict risks. Recommendations are provided for businesses that are currently operating in Liberia, or that are considering doing so. These recommendations highlight that, in accordance with international standards, businesses should not only ensure their own activities do not result in human rights violations, but that they also have a responsibility to assess the business partners they work with and conduct enhanced due diligence in order to prevent becoming involved in any human rights violations. Recommendations are also provided to governments promoting private sector development in Liberia, and to civil societies monitoring the impacts of businesses on human rights.

Systematic violations of social and economic rights were one of the causes of the Liberian conflict. The war was fuelled by widespread violations of political, social and economic rights by successive regimes, including systematic inequality, forcible destruction of homes and the loss of livelihoods.18

According to Liberia’s Truth and Reconciliation Commission, “economic actors and economic activities played a crucial role in contributing to, and benefiting from, armed conflict in Liberia”.19 For example, timber and mining companies benefited from the conflict by obtaining lucrative natural resource concessions and engaging in systematic tax evasion. Logging companies aided the trafficking of weapons to warring factions, violently removed communities from their land, and used security forces closely aligned with militia units that were committing grave human rights abuses. This also exacerbated land-based inter-ethnic tensions.20

In its report, the Commission has listed actors involved in economic crimes during the Liberian conflict, which includes Oriental Trading Company and Royal Timber Corporation, run by Dutch businessman Guus van Kouwenhoven and rubber company Firestone. Firestone, which is still active in Liberia today, was accused of paying taxes and providing equipment to Charles Taylor’s rebel government during the civil war, in return for receiving security for the rubber plantation from the rebels and the possibility to continue operations.21

3 Business in conflict-affected areas: human rights at risk

Multinational corporations continue to play a significant role in contemporary Liberia. The country has developed an ambitious plan for post-conflict reconstruction and transformation that focuses on attracting foreign private sector investment. In its Vision 2030 development plan, economic development, natural resources exploitation and private sector engagement play a key role, and the revenues from concessions are meant to develop public goods like road, education, health and water and community development projects through national and local funds.22 The country has so far attracted over USD$16 billion in foreign direct investment, and has allocated approximately half of Liberia’s land mass to mining, rubber, oil palm and forestry concessions.23 While businesses have the potential to contribute to post-conflict reconstruction by contributing
to economic development, through their operations they are also often linked to the violation of human rights.

Human rights at risk
The most severe of these violations occur in conflict-affected states, such as post-conflict Liberia. At the same time, ensuring access to remedy for victims is most challenging in these areas, as weak rule of law and failing justice systems make it difficult for victims of corporate misconduct to seek justice and hold businesses to account. Access to justice in Liberia is extremely limited due to poor management and little capacity of the justice sector as well as corruption. Victims of business-related human rights violations also find it difficult to seek justice in the countries where multinational corporations operating in Liberia have their headquarters, due to the many obstacles to justice there. This effectively means that the human rights regime, which places the primary duty for the protection of human rights on states, does not function properly in the context of conflict-affected areas like Liberia, and that there exists a ‘governance gap’ in holding multinationals to account.

Sparking and fuelling conflict
Apart from human rights concerns, business activity can also spark and intensify conflicts in Liberia. Conflicts as a result of private sector activities can generally be distinguished between:
- Company-community conflicts as a result of socio-economic issues, such as access to land and land disputes, resettlement, loss of livelihoods, environmental pollution and damage to cultural heritage.
- Inter and intra community conflict as a result of pre-existing land conflicts, differing interests and distribution of positive impacts, such as employment opportunities, community development projects and the question of who benefits from private sector activities and who carries the burden of their impacts.
- Conflicts between communities and state institutions as a result of convergence of corporate and state interests, such as state security services protecting the assets of corporations, corruption of authorities and lack of skills and political will to monitor the implementation of concession agreements.

In Liberia, which has a long history of conflict and currently maintains a fragile peace, such conflicts pose a significant risk. The open door policy of the Liberian government and the activities of multinational corporations have already resulted in a wide range of human rights violations, which are increasingly triggering conflict.

4 Doing business in Liberia: open doors in Monrovia, but what about elsewhere?

Foreign private sector investment in Liberia so far has delivered only limited development impacts for people on the ground, and transformation to a stable environment risks being undermined by conflicts created as a result of business activities. The model of post-conflict reconstruction through foreign investment and exports of raw materials often directly conflicts with the rights and interests of communities in the project areas outside of the capital Monrovia, heightening the risk of human rights abuses and conflict. There is little accountability regarding the use of the revenues. Investments are focused on the export of natural resources, the demand for which is dependent on global markets. This means the country is vulnerable to economic stagnation and market collapse. An example is the current collapse of global iron ore prices, which may have serious implications for Liberia, since iron ore exports are an important driver for the country’s economic growth. The problems in this sector also have implications for employment. For example, the iron ore company ArcelorMittal recently announce that it would lay off 190 workers as a result of the market collapse.

The impacts of foreign companies on human rights and conflict dynamics creates serious concerns for the future of the fragile state that Liberia still is. The country is currently experiencing relative peace and stability, but significant challenges remain in terms of justice and reconciliation, legitimacy and effectiveness of government institutions, and rampant corruption. Considerable governance, institutional and capacity constraints exist, which – coupled with internal tensions and irregular (border) conflicts – create continuing risks of instability.

Losing land and livelihoods
The vast majority of the land allocated by the Liberian government to multinational corporations is occupied and used by Liberian people, and located in areas outside the capital Monrovia where state authority is weak, land ownership is complex and land disputes are prevalent. Mining and agricultural companies occupy spaces where communities make a living from farming, hunting and artisanal mining. Displacement of communities, denying farmers’ access to their lands and removing miners without offering a suitable and sustainable alternative source of livelihood is resulting in disputes about land tenure and land use. The destruction of farm lands, food crops, cash crops and the pollution of drinking water negatively impacts the ability of communities to sustain their livelihoods, while the destruction of shrines and sacred areas destroys their customs, values and traditions.
The cultural practices of communities in the project-affected areas of ArcelorMittal, for example, are threatened by the company acquiring land that is considered to have animistic religious value. When forests on this land are clear cut, the communities believe the spirits’ homes are destroyed. In Nimba county, where the company’s iron ore mine is located, a traditional belief system referred to as ‘animal practice’ is practiced. Communities believe that people who are part of this practice inhibit a dual spirit, meaning that they live both as humans as well as animals living in the forests. Destroying the forests for mining threatens this cultural practice. Communities believe that the destruction of the forests even leads to death of the animals and thus members of their communities.

Displacement of farmers and alluvial miners allegedly also results in loss of livelihoods. While the company has indicated that it initiates livelihood restoration programmes, communities assert that only one-time payments are provided. This does not provide communities with alternative livelihood opportunities, while losing access to farmlands and diamond fields means losing access to the means of sustaining themselves. Another example is Buchanan Renewables, a renewable energy company that produced biomass from old rubber trees. While the company intended to harvest non-productive rubber trees and rejuvenate smallholder farms by planting and maintaining young trees, thereby contributing to poverty alleviation and economic development, the local farmers were left with destroyed and unmaintained farms after the company ceased its operations in 2013. These farmers were left without a means of income and now face difficulties sustaining their livelihoods.

Despite improvement in Liberia’s regulatory framework, effective and fair stakeholder consultation and engagement by the government and investors is still lacking. The rights of indigenous and local communities to their ancestral land are still not clearly defined, leaving them vulnerable to land being granted to corporations without local consent. Consultation has been limited, with allegations of lands being granted by the government without community involvement, or local leaders agreeing to the long-term leases without consulting their communities. These circumstances are deepening citizens’ sense of injustice and discontent and thereby cause resentment and resistance.

Conflicts and escalating protests
Disputes over land, the persistence of historical land disputes and the rapid rise of large-scale natural resource concessions in a context where land is a highly contested topic is creating a tense situation and has already triggered grievances and disputes. This poses a high risk of sparking company-community conflicts as well as conflicts with national security forces interfering on behalf of the company.

In some areas this risk is exacerbated by the presence of ex-combatants who conduct artisanal mining or are contracted as security staff by companies.

The last few years have seen an increase in conflicts over land and violent protests against iron ore, palm oil and rubber corporations. In May 2015, protests turned violent when youth in Sinoe county protested against the palm oil company Golden Veroleum after years of simmering conflict regarding employment issues, loss of crops, water pollution and damage to shrines. The situation escalated after police tried to disperse the crowd and several people were injured. In July 2014, violence erupted at the mining site of ArcelorMittal in Nimba County, when protests by youth escalated after they started demanding more employment opportunities and protested against unpaid compensation for crops and lacking implementation of development projects like infrastructure, schools, health clinics and vocational training centres. Other examples are the protests against the iron ore company China Union in Bong county, oil palm company Equatorial Oil Palm in Grand Bassa county, rubber company Salala Rubber corporation in Margibi county, Maryland Oil Palm corporation in Maryland county, and fierce protests against palm oil company Sime Darby in Grand Cape Mount county that forced President Sirleaf to intervene directly.

Land disputes also exacerbate pre-existing tensions between different groups, raising the concern that further land claims by companies will increase the competition for scarce land resources and thereby increase local tensions. This is particularly dangerous in a volatile context like Liberia, where, as already mentioned, land disputes were one of the factors at the root of Liberia’s conflict and can potentially recreate tension and violence.

Employment: contributing to peace or to conflict?
Often regarded as the positive development impact of private sector engagement, employment can also become a trigger for conflict. Rather than strengthening peace, exploitative labour and violations of core labour rights has resulted in protests such as the ones mentioned above. In Liberia, the majority of employment offered by corporations is low skilled and precarious, substituting subsistence farming with temporary and insecure labour relations. Violations of labour rights are frequent, including occupational health and safety standards, living wage, collective bargaining and working hours. Discrimination, sexual harassment, forced labour and child labour are serious problems. Similarly, the lack of provision of basic services like safe drinking water, health, education and social insurance are often reported. For example, unsafe working conditions led to the death of six people and severe injuries of eleven others after an explosion at the Liberian...
Agricultural Company in January 2015. And the preliminary findings of a recent report by Liberian non-governmental organisation Green Advocates reveal dangerous working conditions at ArcelorMittal and the alleged use of toxic substances without adequate protective equipment.

Wanted: development impacts on the ground

Social development clauses of concessionary agreements have delivered some development impacts but these often fail to translate into meaningful improvements in livelihoods, social services and basic infrastructure and provide little benefit to affected communities or the wider population. County Social Development Funds, established to offset the negative impacts of mining and agricultural production by reserving financial resources for projects benefitting affected counties, have so far not benefited affected communities. Project-affected communities have little say in how or where the money is spend, and government corruption and financial mismanagement of these Funds are serious problems, creating further distrust and hostility. In 2011 this led to a complaint against ArcelorMittal by Liberian NGO Sustainable Development Initiative and Friends of the Earth Europe at the National Contact Point for the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Guidelines. ArcelorMittal contributes an annual USD3 million to Nimba, Bong and Grand Bassa Counties, which host the company’s mine, railway and port, but this is failing to address the needs of impacted communities.

An ‘anti-development’ civil society?

Civil society organisations and human rights defenders that are critical towards corporations are confronted with intimidation by the Liberian government. Criticism towards private sector activities is a sensitive issue for the government, as its post-conflict reconstruction policies give centre stage to foreign direct investment. Civil society organisations that defend the rights of communities affected by such investments are framed as being ‘anti-development’. For example, in her annual message to the Liberian legislature in 2014, President Sirleaf stated that NGOs challenge the national sovereignty of the country, which led to extensive criticism by international donor countries. And after the recent protests against palm oil company Golden Veroleum, the President said “we cannot allow a few people to undermine the interest of this country; to run investors away and to make sure that we do not attract what we need to achieve our development goals”.

This has contributed to an increasingly dangerous working environment that has compromised the safety and security of human rights defenders in Liberia, as well as their family members and the local community partners they work with. The Government of Liberia has imposed a series of criminal charges and offences against community human rights defenders, ranging from criminal trespass, criminal malevolence, theft of property, armed robbery, obstruction of justice, disorderly conduct, menacing behaviour, attempted murder, economic sabotage and terrorist threats. Community based human rights defenders including community leaders, union leaders and activists have been confronted with harassment, intimidation, threats, arrests and imprisonment.

For example, in 2014 community leaders were arrested and imprisoned in Cape Mount, Margibi, Grand Bassa, Maryland, Bomi, Bong and Nimba counties. In Nimba County, local community members and youth who were protesting against the lack of benefits from the operation of the company were violently arrested and imprisoned by police units. In Grand Bassa, community members were arrested for protesting against the forceful surveying of their customary land by Equatorial Oil Palm Corporation. In Bong County, community members were arrested for protesting against the lack of employment opportunities, as well as the taking of their customary land without adequate compensation. Elite police units responded by arresting several community members. Similar actions took place in Grand Gedeh, where the Putu Iron Ore Mining company is operating, as well as in Maryland, Sinoe, Margibi, Grand Kru and Rivercess counties.

5 Recommendations

The open door policy of the Liberian government and the activities of multinational businesses have so far failed to improve the lives of the majority of Liberians. In contrast, multinational corporations have been involved in a wide range of human rights violations and are sparking and fuelling conflicts. In the context of the fragile peace in the country, this is of great concern. Current and future investments can only make a positive impact if, at the very minimum, no harm is done to the rights of Liberians living in the project-affected areas. To ensure this, the Liberian government, as well as other governments, should invest in promoting long-term and value-adding forms of private sector development, and encourage corporations to invest in their social license to operate. Corporations should adopt business practices that are sensitive to conflict-affected areas, ensure effective human rights due diligence processes and meaningfully engage with stakeholders.

Recommendations for businesses:

Businesses operating in Liberia or planning to so should conduct enhanced due diligence to identify the specific risks for human rights violations and sparking conflict or fuelling existing ones as a result of their business activities. Apart from ensuring that their own activities do not result in violations of human rights, they should also prevent...
Standards
Various standards have been developed that can help corporations operating in Liberia to respect internationally recognised human rights and prevent them becoming involved in violations. Two important standards that businesses operating in Liberia should adhere to include:

- **UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs):** The UNGPs clarify the duty of states to protect and the responsibility of businesses to respect human rights and the responsibilities of states as well as businesses to provide access to justice for people who are negatively impacted by business activities. The UNGPs state that companies can impact human rights in various ways: by causing violations, by contributing to violations and by being directly linked to violations through activities and business relationships. In conflict affected areas, companies have a heightened due diligence responsibility.

- **OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises:** The OECD Guidelines provide recommendations on responsible business conduct and respect for human rights for multinationals operating in or from OECD countries. The OECD Guidelines outline how businesses should deal with issues including human rights, labour rights, the environment and supply chain issues.

The following standards can also be of importance in the context of conflict affected areas:

- **Voluntary Principles on Security and Human Rights:** provides guidance to the extractive industry companies in maintaining the safety and security of their operations in a manner ensuring respect for human rights.

- **UN Global Compact Guidance on Responsible Business in Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas:** assists companies with implementing the UN Global Compact’s principles for responsible business practices in conflict affected areas.

- **International Alert Guide for Conflict Sensitive Business Practice** for the extractive industries: provides guidance on doing business in societies at risk of conflict, and providing information on understanding conflict risks through practical tools.

becoming linked to violations committed by others. For example, by providing infrastructure to a mining company, sourcing from a palm oil company, or using the services of Liberia’s security sector while they cause involuntary resettlement, loss of livelihoods, labour rights violations or create conflicts, businesses may become directly linked to these violations as a result of their business relationships. In line with international standards, businesses should at least:

- Comply with all applicable laws and respect internationally recognized human rights.

- Adopt a human rights policy in consultation with stakeholders, approve the policy on the most senior level, and publish the policy publicly.

- Identify the risks for negative human rights impacts on a continuous basis and act upon the findings.

- Organize meaningful stakeholder engagement, for example with communities, workers, national and international civil society.

- Prevent causing and contributing to violations, and take action when they are linked to them as a result of their business activities, business relations and supply chains.

- Ensure that individuals, workers and communities who are negatively impacted are provided with proper and human rights compatible remediation.

**Recommendations for governments promoting private sector activities in Liberia:**

- Only promote private sector activities that have development relevance, such as investments that enhance employment, combat inequality, stimulate inclusivity, sustainable growth, local innovation and empowerment of vulnerable groups. Ensure that promotion of private sector activities does not create unfair competition for Liberian businesses. Promote long-term and value-adding industries and the development of infrastructure and electricity for the benefit of citizens.

- Make corporate social responsibility and corporate accountability standards such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises a priority during the preparation and implementation of trade missions. Promote this at the level of participating companies, as well as towards the Liberian government and domestic companies. When reporting about the trade mission, also report on the way corporate social responsibility was integrated into the mission. Engage civil society organisations, including trade unions, in the home and host country in a meaningful way during preparation and implementation of the trade mission.

- Actively inform businesses about the human rights and conflict issues in Liberia, by developing a country-
specific toolkit in consultation with civil society and providing this information through embassies, relevant ministries and other forums.

- Emphasise the due diligence responsibility and ensure that corporations integrate this into their supply chain relationship. Support the specification of the due diligence process to the Liberian context.

- Recommend to the Liberian government that it should develop a National Action Plan on Business and Human Rights in accordance with the ‘Guidance on National Action Plans on Business and Human Rights’ by the UN Working Group on Business and Human Rights\(^57\) and using the Toolkit on National Action Plans by the Danish Institute for Human Rights and the International Corporate Accountability Roundtable.\(^58\)

**Useful tools for civil society organisations:**

- **How to use the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights in company research and advocacy:**\(^59\) provides concrete support for civil society organisations to use the UN Guiding Principles in addressing the responsibility of businesses to respect human rights.

- **Calling for Corporate Accountability. A guide to the 2011 OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises:**\(^60\) helps civil society organisations to understand the OECD Guidelines and address corporate misconduct through the complaints mechanisms of the OECD Guidelines and the functioning of the National Contact Points.

- **Getting it Right. Human Rights Impact Assessment Guide:**\(^61\) is a step-by-step methodology for communities and NGOs to measure the actual or potential human rights impact of business activities, and gives ownership to affected communities. It enables drafting a final report and recommendations that can serve as a basis for engagement with public and private actors involved in investment projects.

- **The website ‘Human Rights and Grievance Mechanisms: Supporting you in seeking remedy for corporate misconduct’:**\(^62\) provides information on how to address corporate misconduct by using non-judicial grievance mechanisms, and contains step-by-step guides to using these mechanisms.
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